

## Chapter 10 Problems

**10.18. a)**  $\hat{\pi} = 35/800 = 0.04375$ . Note  $n\hat{\pi} = 35 > 5$  and  $n(1 - \hat{\pi}) = 800 - 35 > 5$ . Hence, the normal approximation is accurate and appropriate for these data.

**b)**  $H_0 : \pi = 0.096$   $H_a : \pi < 0.096$ . Let  $\pi_0 = 0.096$ . The test statistic is

$$Z = \frac{\hat{\pi} - \pi_0}{\sqrt{\frac{\pi_0(1-\pi_0)}{n}}}$$

Plugging in  $\pi$ ,  $\hat{\pi}$  and  $n$  gives

$$Z = \frac{0.0438 - 0.096}{\sqrt{\frac{0.0438(1-0.0438)}{800}}} = \frac{-0.0522}{0.0104} = -5.01.$$

If  $H_0 : \pi = 0.096$  is true, then  $Z$  is approximately  $N(0, 1)$  in distribution. Given  $\alpha = 0.05$ , a rejection region for a test of  $H_0$  is  $\{z < -1.96\}$ . Clearly, there is abundant evidence against  $H_0$  and favoring  $H_a$ .

**10.34. a)** We want to test  $H_0 : \pi_1 - \pi_2 = 0$  versus  $H_a : \pi_1 - \pi_2 > 0$  where  $\pi_1$  and  $\pi_2$  are for Minoxidol and placebo groups respectively. Here,  $\hat{\pi}_1 = 32/310 = 0.1032$  and  $\hat{\pi}_2 = 20/310$ . Also  $\hat{\pi} = (y_1 + y_2)/(n_1 + n_2) = (20 + 32)/(310 + 310) = 0.0839$ . I prefer to use the test statistic

$$Z = \frac{\hat{\pi}_1 - \hat{\pi}_2 - (\pi_1 - \pi_2)}{\sqrt{\hat{\pi}(1-\hat{\pi})(\frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2})}}$$

(You may also use the test statistic given on p. 484 of the text). The value of my test statistic is

$$Z = \frac{0.1032 - 0.0645}{\sqrt{0.0839(1-0.0839)(\frac{1}{310} + \frac{1}{310})}} = \frac{0.0387}{0.0222} = 1.74.$$

Large values of  $\hat{\pi}_1 - \hat{\pi}_2$  support  $H_a$ , as do large values of  $Z$ ; hence p-value =  $P(Z \geq 1.74) = 0.0409$ . There is evidence of a difference in  $\pi$ 's between the two populations.

**b)** The extent of new hair growth is important to estimate; also, did the study reveal any possible side effects of the treatment?

**10.35. a)** We want to test  $H_0 : \pi_1 - \pi_2 = 0$  versus  $H_a : \pi_1 - \pi_2 > 0$  where  $\pi_1$  and  $\pi_2$  are for cocaine and heroin groups respectively. Here,  $\hat{\pi}_1 = 0.9$  and  $\hat{\pi}_2 = 0.36$ . Also  $\hat{\pi} = (90 + 36)/200 = 126/200 = 0.63$ . I prefer to use the test statistic

$$Z = \frac{\hat{\pi}_1 - \hat{\pi}_2 - (\pi_1 - \pi_2)}{\sqrt{\hat{\pi}(1-\hat{\pi})(\frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2})}}$$

(You may also use the test statistic given on p. 484 of the text). The value of my test statistic is

$$Z = \frac{0.9 - 0.36}{\sqrt{0.63(1-0.63)(\frac{1}{100} + \frac{1}{100})}} = \frac{.53}{0.0683} = 7.90.$$

Large values of  $\hat{\pi}_1 - \hat{\pi}_2$  support  $H_a$ , as do large values of  $Z$ ; hence p-value =  $P(Z \geq 7.90) < 0.0001$ . There is very strong evidence of a difference in  $\pi$ 's between the two populations.

b) Implications are unclear given differences between humans and rats. Moreover, the situation is unrealistic because few drug users have unlimited access to illegal drugs.

**10.46.** a) The hypotheses of interest are  $H_0 : \pi_1 = 0.4 = \pi_2, \pi_3 = 0.1 = \pi_4$  versus  $H_a : \text{not } H_0$ . The test statistic is the  $\chi^2$  goodness of fit test, and the relevant information for computing the test are tabled:

Season	$n_i$	$E_i$	$\frac{(n_i - E_i)^2}{E_i}$
Winter	374	400	1.70
Spring	292	400	29.7
Summer	169	100	47.6
Fall	165	100	42.2
Total	1000	1000	120.7

Note that the differences between the expected counts  $E_i$  and the observed counts  $n_i$  are very large for all seasons but winter, and so the doctor's model is very poor (based on the observed data). Consequently, the chi-square statistic  $\chi^2 = 120.7$  is extremely large if  $H_0$  were true. Under  $H_0$ ,  $\chi^2$  has an approximate chi-square distribution with 4 degrees of freedom. The rejection region are all values of  $\chi^2$  greater than 9.488 (Table 7 in the text). Hence, I reject  $H_0$  in favor of  $H_a$ .